Reconfigurable Microfluidic Circuits for Isolating and Retrieving Cells of Interest

Cyril Deroy, James H. R. Wheeler, Agata N. Rumianek, Peter R. Cook, William M. Durham, Kevin R. Foster, and Edmond J. Walsh*



minutes on standard Petri dishes, add cells, pump in laminar streams so molecular diffusion creates attractant gradients, acquire time-lapse images, and isolate desired subpopulations in real time by building fluid walls around migrating cells with an accuracy of tens of micrometers using 3D printed adaptors that convert conventional microscopes into wall-building machines. Our method allows live cells of interest to be easily extracted from microfluidic devices for downstream analyses.

KEYWORDS: reconfigurable microfluidics, fluid walls, chemotaxis, antibiotic resistance, cell isolation

1. INTRODUCTION

Microfluidic experiments are widely used to study the physical and chemical stimuli that influence individual cell behavior and physiology and have advanced understanding of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic biology.¹ While cells within microfluidic devices can be easily visualized by time-lapse microscopy, it remains difficult to retrieve responding cells from widely used devices that are made with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), as they are confined behind solid walls. This limits our ability to relate the phenotypes observed within these systems with their underlying molecular processes. Although open microfluidics improves accessibility,² it remains challenging to isolate and extract specific cells of interest from flowing microenvironments.

The recent development of fluid-walled microfluidics where samples in the aqueous phase are confined behind a liquid interface made of the immiscible fluorocarbon, FC40 allows fluid walls to be built by a three-axis traverse (a "printer").³ This approach can be used to construct isolating fluid chambers around cells growing on standard Petri dishes,⁴ but it is difficult to do so around cells with specific phenotypes that are usually detected with a microscope. Furthermore, cells are often sensitive to changing flows,^{5–7} and so even moving a dish from a microscope to a printer can perturb both a cell's position and physiology. Therefore, we devised a general method to isolate and extract cells from microfluidic circuits guided by traditional inverted microscopes and without interrupting flows.

As proofs of concept, we isolate and extract subpopulations from two very different cell types, murine macrophages and early-stage biofilms of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, as cells migrate up flow-generated gradients of two very different chemoattractants (i.e., macrophages toward complement component Sa $(CSa)^{8,9}$ and the bacteria—counter-intuitively—toward the lethal antibiotic, ciprofloxacin¹⁰). We first show that fluidwalled circuits can be reconfigured using the printer to isolate a microscopically targeted population of migrating macrophages. Secondly, we 3D print adaptors that can be attached to a conventional inverted microscope with a motorized stage, transforming the microfluidic device and isolate a subpopulation

 Received:
 April 29, 2022

 Accepted:
 May 11, 2022

 Published:
 May 23, 2022





www.acsami.org



Figure 1. General workflow and printing circuits. (A) Workflow. (i) An "m"-shaped circuit is printed on a dish, and cells are seeded into the central arm. (ii) Dish is transferred to a microscope, dispensing needles are inserted into lateral arms of the "m", and the medium -/+ chemoattractant (red/blue) are pumped into the circuit to flow to the sink; diffusion between laminar streams creates a stable attractant gradient across the central conduit. The microscope is used to image chemotaxing cells and to identify rapidly migrating ones. (iii) New isolating fluid walls are built around chemotaxing cells (on either the printer or the microscope). (iv) Printer extracts isolated cells from the reconfigured chamber. (v) Cells are now analyzed in any desired way (e.g., by RNA sequencing). (B) Printer with a 6 cm dish. A close-up shows the dish with a printed circuit (+blue dye for visualization). (C) Printing the circuit. (i) Dispensing needle is held by the printer above a dish. (ii) Medium pumped onto the dish is held in place by interfacial forces. (iii) Moving the needle as it infuses the medium prints the circuit. (iv) Overlaying FC40 (green) prevents evaporation.

of cells. This means that circuits can be reconfigured *in situ* on the microscope as flows and imaging continue. These methods are easily tuned to a user's imaging system and requirements, giving them broad applicability to a wide range of experimental systems and questions in the biosciences.

2. RESULTS

2.1. Workflow. The general workflow involves printing an "m"-shaped circuit with two arms that join to form a central conduit connected to a sink and seeding cells into the central conduit where they attach to the surface of the dish (Figure 1Ai). Dishes are then transferred to a microscope where stable chemoattractant gradients are established over cells. Needles are inserted into each circuit arm, and the medium is infused through one and the medium plus the attractant through the other; a third needle inserted into the sink simultaneously withdraws fluid at a rate matching the two inputs. All three needles are connected to syringe pumps via tubing and held above the circuit by a 3D printed holder attached to the dish. Both input liquids flow as laminar streams down the central arm, and diffusion creates a stable gradient of the attractant across its width (Figures 1Aii and S1; Experimental Section). Once cells move up the gradient, new fluid walls are built around responding cells to isolate them from all others using either a printer or a modified microscope (Figure 1Aiii), and cells are then extracted prior to downstream analysis (Figure $1 \operatorname{Aiv}, v$).

2.1.1. Printing Fluid-Walled Microfluidic Circuits. The circuit is made using a custom printer that consists of a dispensing needle connected to a syringe pump mounted on a three-axis traverse (Figure $1B;^3$); the needle infuses the growth medium onto the surface of a standard Petri dish (Figure 1Ci-iii, see the Experimental Section). Once printed, the circuit is overlaid with FC40—a biocompatible and immiscible fluorocarbon—that prevents circuit evaporation (Figure 1Civ). At the microscale, interfacial forces firmly pin the medium to the substrate, and fluid walls—interfaces between the two immiscible phases—confine the medium to the

printed pattern. The fluid is easily added to and removed from such a circuit as fluid walls can be pierced at any point by pipets or dispensing needles; these walls spontaneously seal around inserted tubes and then reseal without leaks when tubes are withdrawn. Fluid walls also morph above unchanging footprints to accommodate accompanying pressure differences (i.e., pinning of the contact line ensures that the circuit footprint remains unchanged as heights and contact angles change³). Soon after printing, the pressure inside these circuits equilibrates causing the flow to cease. While we print these circuits by infusing the medium through a needle, they can also be fabricated using a stylus or a microjet.^{11,12}

2.1.2. Managing Positional Information. During the next and subsequent steps in the workflow (Figure 1A), the dish is moved between the printer and the microscope, and the circuit's positional information must be transferred between the two. This is achieved using 3D printed adaptors that fix the orientation of the dish on the two instruments. Thus, a locating ring with a triangular protrusion attaches to the outside of the dish (Figure 2Ai), and the ring and the protrusion fit into appropriate indents in custom adaptors on the stages of the printer and the microscope (Figure 2Aii,iii).

2.1.3. Exposing Cells to Chemical Gradients, Imaging, and Isolating Migrating Cells. After printing the circuits, dishes are transferred to a microscope, needles are inserted, stable gradients of the chemoattractant are established, cells are imaged as they migrate up the gradient, and the desired subpopulation is identified (Figure 1Aii). This subpopulation is isolated by building new fluid walls around it (Figure 1Aiii) using a PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) stylus with a conical tip. This is achieved in two ways that use the same principle; the stylus is attached either to the three-way traverse on the printer (Figure 2Bi, inset) or to the condenser on a standard inverted microscope with a motorized stage (Figure 2Bii; the stylus is attached to the condenser using 3D printed adaptors, Supporting Information, STEP files). In both cases, the stylus is lowered (either using the programmed traverse or by lowering the microscope condenser manually) through FC40



Figure 2. Reconfiguring microfluidic environments on a printer or a microscope. (A) Fixing orientation of the dish. (i) 3D printed locating ring (red) with a triangular protrusion (top left). The ring fits around a 6 cm dish containing a circuit (+blue dye for visualization). (ii) Stage of the printer bears a complementary triangular indentation that orients the dish. (iii) Ring also fits into an adaptor for the microscope stage (red, top-down view). (B) Reconfiguration tools. (i) Printer with the dish in the red locating ring. Inset: close-up of the PTFE stylus used to reconfigure the circuit. (ii) Microscope condenser with an exploded view of 3D printed attachments (red; see the Supporting Information STEP files for CAD models). (C) Cartoons illustrating circuit reconfiguration. (i) Circuit before reconfiguration (red/blue: medium -/+ chemoattractant). (ii) Stylus is lowered onto the surface of the dish; it forces the medium aside and brings FC40 down to wet the dish where it remains stuck to the bottom. (iii) Stylus builds new fluid walls along paths 1-3. (iv) This results in three new fluid walls that completely isolate part of the circuit from the rest.

and the medium in the circuit until the tip contacts the surface of the dish. As FC40 wets PTFE and polystyrene dishes better than the medium,¹³ this brings the FC40 down onto the bottom of the dish. Next, the stylus is moved relative to the substrate, and—as it moves laterally—it displaces the medium from within the circuit. This displaced medium is replaced by surrounding FC40, creating new fluid walls that can be constructed around the desired subpopulation of migrating cells (Figure 2C).

Prior to reconfiguring circuits on the printer (Figure 2Bi), flow through the circuit on the microscope is stopped, and input and output needles are withdrawn. Owing to the adaptors (Figure 2A), the dishes are then transferred back onto the printer in a fixed orientation. As macrophages adhere strongly to polystyrene and move at ~1 μ m min⁻¹ at 37 °C,¹⁴ the relative positions of responding and nonresponding macrophages change little during transfer to the printer or subsequently in the few minutes the printer takes to build new isolating walls at room temperature. However, a small proportion of bacterial cells often detach from surface-attached biofilms.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Under continuous flow, these planktonic cells are flushed through the circuit but any disturbance to flow could allow planktonic cells to swim into different parts of the circuit, where they could subsequently reattach. To prevent this, we build the first fluid wall that separates chemotaxing bacteria directly on the microscope so that flows are not interrupted by having to move the circuit back onto the printer. In this case, the stylus is fixed to the microscope condenser, and circuits are reconfigured as the motorized stage moves the dish relative to the stationary stylus. Then, new fluid walls are built as before in seconds with an accuracy largely determined by that of the microscope stage (typically in the micrometer range) and the thickness of the stylus tip (tens of micrometers). This setup therefore allows chemotaxing cells to be isolated from others without interrupting flows or imaging.

2.2. Recovering Macrophages Chemotaxing toward C5a. Complement component 5a (C5a) is a protein that plays an important role in the innate immune response and acts as a chemoattractant that recruits macrophages to infection sites.¹⁸ As a proof of principle of the first workflow outlined above where circuits are reconfigured on the printer, a population of murine bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) is exposed to gradients of C5a (Figure 3Ai; exact circuit



Figure 3. Steps in building fluid walls around chemotaxing macrophages (BMDMs). (A) Step 1 (after printing a circuit and plating BMDMs; t = 0 h). (i) Image of the "m"-shaped circuit (with red dye to aid visualization; dish invisible). (ii) Phase-contrast micrograph of the area indicated in (A,i). Cells (dark dots) are confined by the medium: FC40 walls (dashed lines). Inset: cartoon showing cells in the medium (red). (B) Step 2 (after establishing a chemoattractant gradient between laminar streams of the medium \pm 10 nM C5a, which flow down the conduit at 1 μ L min⁻¹; t = 28 h). (i) Image of the circuit. As before, red and blue dyes represent the medium and C5a. (ii) Micrograph of the area in (B,i) taken from a movie in which many cells are seen to chemotax toward C5a on the right. Inset: cartoon showing cells chemotaxing toward C5a (blue). (C) Step 3 (after building three new fluid walls around chemotaxing cells on the printer; t = 28.2 h). (i) Image of the circuit. New walls are again faintly visible (positions are also indicated by dashed white lines). Pumps are stopped before building new walls, and below wall 2, there has been time for diffusion to dissipate the dye gradient across the conduit. (ii) Micrograph of the area in (C,i) showing "wall 1" built down the conduit separating chemotaxing from nonchemotaxing cells. (D) Image analysis of cells seen in the movie (representative of three experimental repeats). (i) Trajectories of individual cells in (bii) (blue—toward C5a, orange—away from C5a). (ii) Rose plot indicating binned probability density distribution of directed cell movement (total number of trajectories n = 841). Blue segments represent tracks moving toward C5a.

dimensions are shown in Figure S2A), and the migrating subpopulation is isolated and extracted.

2.2.1. Establishing the Gradient. After printing the circuit and seeding cells, input and output needles are inserted into appropriate positions in the circuit using a 3D printed holder (Figure S1A,B), and input (i.e., medium \pm 10 nM C5a) and output flows are established (Figure 3Bi). Previous assays using dyes confirm that diffusion creates a stable concentration gradient across the width of the central conduit (left-hand inset in Figure 3Bi; maximum gradient width is ~80 μ m— Experimental Section).

2.2.2. Identifying the Chemotaxing Population. The dish is now placed in a commercially available imaging system, an "IncuCyte" ZOOM, that fits in a CO_2 incubator; the microscope objective can be programmed to collect images as it moves under stationary dishes. Note, however, that our approach is easily extended (using appropriate 3D printed adaptors) to most automated microscopes suitable for live-cell imaging of mammalian cells. In our case, cells in the IncuCyte are imaged for 28 h at three frames h⁻¹. Real-time observation reveals that BMDMs chemotax toward C5a, as expected. Figure 3Bii illustrates one frame from the Supporting Information, Video 1. Macrophages—initially randomly distributed throughout the conduit—accumulate approximately along the center-line of the C5a gradient. To confirm directed movement, we analyzed trajectories of 841 individual cells using custom particle-tracking software (Experimental Section). Where the C5a concentration gradient is steepest (close to the center-line), there are many more cell trajectories moving toward C5a compared to the number moving away from the chemoattractant (shown in blue and orange, respectively, in Figure 3Di), and we confirm this bias in a rose plot (Figure 3Dii). Our results are consistent with a previous study that shows BMDMs robustly chemotax toward 10 nM C5a.⁸

2.2.3. Isolating the Chemotaxing Subpopulation. Migrating BMDMs are now isolated by constructing new fluid walls around them (Figure 3C). Flow through the circuit is halted and the dish is returned to the printer where the stylus builds a new separating fluid wall ("wall 1" in Figure 3Ci) down the center-line of the conduit (Figure 3Cii). Subsequently, two additional walls are built across the width of the central conduit ("wall 2" and "wall 3" in Figure 3Ci) to create an entirely isolated fluid chamber (~500 nL containing the



Figure 4. Building fluid walls on a microscope to isolate bacteria chemotaxing toward an antibiotic. (A) Overview. (i) Micrograph of the "m"shaped circuit. A 1:1 co-culture of the YFP-labeled WT and the unlabeled $\Delta pilG$ mutant (chemotaxis-null). P. aeruginosa bacteria are seeded in the conduit, and laminar streams of medium + blue dye (dark gray) and medium + ciprofloxacin (cipro, 10 μ g mL⁻¹ = 100× MIC; light gray) flow over them. (ii-v) Cartoons giving an overview (gray-scale reflects antibiotic concentration, only WT bacteria are shown). After plating, WT bacteria grow in the conduit. Flow then establishes a concentration gradient by diffusion of the antibiotic across the conduit; cells exposed to high concentrations on the right die and are washed away. Some bacteria then chemotax from the antibiotic-free region into the high concentration of ciprofloxacin. A new wall is then built between chemotaxing and nonresponsive cells. (B) Micrographs of the top of the central conduit before building a new isolating wall. (i) Merge of fluorescence and phase-contrast images showing cells in a ciprofloxacin gradient (only fluorescent WT cells are visible at this magnification). Most cells on the right have been killed and washed away, and some on the left are chemotaxing toward the antibiotic. (ii) Zoom of cells in the taxis zone. The area is rich in yellow-fluorescing WT cells, with some phase-dark mutant cells (the arrow and insets mark both types of cells). (C) Micrographs after building the wall. (i) Merge of fluorescence and phase-contrast images showing cells (only WT visible) and the new wall (cells within it are removed during building). The chamber on the right now contains ciprofloxacin at 3 times the MIC plus blue dye (dark gray, see the Experimental Section). (ii) Zoom of the taxis zone and the new wall. (D) Image analysis of cells in the taxis zone prior to building the new wall (representative of three experimental repeats). (i) Trajectories of individual cells (blue/orange tracks-to/from antibiotic). (ii) Rose plot is indicating binned probability density distribution of directed movement (total number of trajectories n = 1470). Blue segments represent tracks moving toward ciprofloxacin, and orange ones away from it, with segment length reflecting the number of trajectory points per bin. Movement is biased to the right. (ii) Cells bias motility toward the antibiotic by reversing direction more frequently when moving away from the antibiotic. For reversals away from ciprofloxacin (orange bar), n = 24 reversals are observed across 5006 trajectory points. For reversals toward ciprofloxacin (blue bar), n = 28 reversals are observed across 3710 trajectory points.

subpopulation of cells that are migrating toward C5a, in addition to any nonresponders initially present).

2.2.4. Extracting the Chemotaxing Subpopulation. The printer now infuses ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)—a calcium chelator that detaches macrophages from surfaces¹⁹—into the chamber, and after 10 min, the aqueous phase containing now-suspended cells is withdrawn (along with a small amount of surrounding FC40) and manually plated into conventional tissue culture dishes. Using this approach, ~87% of the migrating cells that had been isolated in the fluid chamber are extracted and plated, of which ~80% remain viable after 24 h (Figure S3). This value is equivalent to those achieved with conventional cultures of

these fragile primary cells¹⁹ and confirms previous results showing a majority of cells remain viable after extraction from fluid-walled chambers and subsequent replating.^{4,11,12} These results confirm that a subpopulation rich in migrating cells can be isolated from the general cell population with excellent viability. Where desired, this approach could easily be extended to carry out, for example, a detailed downstream molecular analysis of chemotaxing and nonchemotaxing populations to connect phenotypical differences to variations in gene expression (Figure 1Av).

2.3. Recovering *P. aeruginosa* Cells Migrating toward Antibiotics. *P. aeruginosa* cells are able to grow in a planktonic state but will also attach to surfaces and grow there as a biofilm. During the early stages of biofilm formation, surfaceattached P. aeruginosa cells move by twitching motility using type-IV-pili to drag themselves across the substrate at speeds of ~0.2 to 0.5 $\mu m min^{-1}$.^{20,21} Twitching *P. aeruginosa* cells undergo chemotaxis toward (and not away from) lethally high concentrations of antibiotics.¹⁰ In a companion paper, we characterize the biology of this response, which appears to have its basis in an aggressive response to toxins that come from competing bacteria.¹⁰ Several factors made studying bacterial chemotaxis toward antibiotics challenging and thus this phenotype was an ideal test case for our approach.¹⁰ First, a population of P. aeruginosa cells frequently detach during the earliest stages of biofilm development to become planktonic,¹⁵ where they use flagella to swim four orders of magnitude more quickly than surface-attached cells (at ~2400 $\mu m \min^{-1}$, 22); consequently, they can traverse the microfluidic channels described above in seconds. However, the flow velocity in the laminar streams we use during experiments is ~21,600 μ m min⁻¹, so ordinarily, any cells becoming planktonic are rapidly flushed away. Second, the steep gradients required to detect chemotaxis in our circuits break down within minutes once flows cease. This would expose cells to rapid changes in antibiotic concentration that can harm them and cause them to detach from the surface. Consequently, the chemotaxing subpopulation in a biofilm is best isolated without perturbing flow. Therefore, we designed a workflow to isolate chemotaxing cells under flow.

2.3.1. Imaging Bacteria within Antibiotic Gradients. Here, we use a smaller "m"-shaped circuit with a narrower junction to limit the diffusion of the antibiotic near the wall of the junction (Figures 4Ai, S2B). As the chemical gradients in this device are a function of flow and molecular diffusion, a narrower junction ensures that the antibiotic is not transported along the circuit walls, where the flow is minimal. P. aeruginosa cells are inoculated into the central conduit, where they attach to the dish and develop into an early-stage biofilm (Figure 4Aii). Cells are seeded as a 1:1 co-culture of wild-type (WT) and mutant cells ($\Delta pilG$); the WT expresses the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP), and the mutant acts as an internal chemotaxisnull control as it lacks the *pilG* gene required to bias movement and undergo chemotaxis.²¹ After mounting the dish on the microscope stage, medium \pm ciprofloxacin (an antibiotic often used clinically to treat P. aeruginosa infections) is infused into the circuit, and—as before—diffusion creates a stable gradient of the attractant across the central conduit (maximum gradient width \sim 70 μ m; Experimental Section).

For these experiments, one input contains ciprofloxacin at 100 times the concentration required to inhibit cell growth, known as the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC, 10 μ g ml⁻¹).¹⁰ Consequently, all cells initially exposed to high ciprofloxacin concentrations either fail to grow and/or are lost from the substrate (Figure 4Aiii) to be rapidly flushed away. As expected, however, some surface-attached cells on the antibiotic-free side of the gradient begin to migrate up the ciprofloxacin gradient (Figure 4Aiv). To follow this migration, we image conduits for up to 36 h post inoculation. After ~24 h, migrating WT cells accumulate in regions containing high ciprofloxacin concentrations (Figure 4B); in contrast, $\Delta pilG$ mutants remain largely restricted to the medium-only side of the conduit. Analysis of the trajectories of more than 1000 WT cells (see the Experimental Section) shows that in the taxis zone, there were two-fold more trajectories moving toward the antibiotic compared to those moving away from it (shown in

blue and orange, respectively, in Figure 4Di)—a bias confirmed in a rose plot (Figure 4Dii). We also use trajectories to detect when cells abruptly reverse the direction of their movement. It has been shown previously that these cells generate chemotaxis by deploying these "reversals" more frequently when moving away from, rather than toward chemoattractants,^{21,23} and this is confirmed here (Figure 4Dii).

2.3.2. Reconfiguring Circuits on a Microscope to Isolate Migrating Cells. After ~24 h, many cells have undergone chemotaxis toward ciprofloxacin and so we next isolate this migrating subpopulation from those establishing a biofilm in antibiotic-free regions of the circuit. As we have seen, this can only be achieved with continuous flow.¹⁰ Therefore, we use the 3D printed adaptors discussed above to attach a PTFE stylus to the microscope condenser (Figure 4Bii) and then use the motorized stage on the microscope to move the stylus relative to the dish. This allows us to build a new fluid wall down the length of the central conduit separating the bulk of the migrating population from the antibiotic naive population of cells (Figures 4C and S4; Experimental Section). Once this wall is built, flows through the circuit are stopped and two additional walls were built across the width of the central conduit above and below the taxis zone to completely isolate those cells that have undergone chemotaxis in an isolated fluid chamber containing ~100 nL (Figure S4C).

2.3.3. Extracting Chemotaxing Cells and Assessing Their Viability. As discussed in the companion paper,¹⁰ a key question is whether migrating cells in the experiment develop antibiotic resistance and therefore retain long-term viability. To assess long-term viability using our method, circuits can be transferred back to the printer and the contents of the isolation chamber are extracted (Figure S5A). This fluid (containing any planktonic cells) is then plated onto agar plates containing the antibiotic-free growth medium to monitor colony growth. The viability of cells remaining within the isolation chamber is also monitored continuously. Any residual ciprofloxacin in the chamber is removed and replaced with the antibiotic-free medium, dishes are returned to the microscope, and the entire isolation chamber is imaged for at least 36 h to detect any cell growth and division. This experiment revealed that neither cells extracted from the isolation chamber nor those remaining behind in it retained long-term viability. Why then does P. aeruginosa move toward antibiotics? It appears that chemotaxis toward antibiotics may be driven by an evolved response of surface-attached P. aeruginosa to move toward toxins produced by neighboring competitors in an attempted counter-attack.¹⁰ However, in the case of antibiotic gradients, this counter-attack proves futile, and the attacking cells die.

3. CONCLUSIONS

We report two general methods for isolating desired subpopulations of cells from within microfluidic circuits that are formed by fluid walls (Figure 1) and exemplify them by isolating highly enriched chemotaxing macrophages or bacteria relative to their nonresponding counterparts. In the first approach, circuits are fabricated, manipulated, and reconfigured on a custom-built three-way traverse. As these operations are performed on the traverse without live microscopy, we design 3D printed components that fit on condensers of conventional inverted microscopes to transform them into micromanipulators to reconfigure circuits (Figure 2). This allows specific subpopulations of cells to be isolated using highprecision real-time microscopy as a guide. Our approach also allows flow through circuits to continue uninterrupted as critical isolating walls are built. Using the traverse, we first isolate macrophages chemotaxing toward C5a (Figure 3). We then use the 3D printed adaptors to target and isolate bacteria migrating toward antibiotics in real time on a microscope (Figure 4). Note that we also isolate macrophages using a similar technique and a different type of inverted microscope (Figure S8).

Uniquely, our approach here allows isolation of desired subpopulations of adherent migratory prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells as flow and imaging continue. In both cases, the isolated subpopulation can be extracted from the circuit and analyzed using a range of different techniques. For example, RNA sequencing could be applied to identify which transcripts increase in number in a chemotactic subpopulation. Moreover, as techniques exist to build fluid walls with sufficient accuracy to isolate single cells,^{4,12} the methodology outlined here could even be applied with single-cell transcriptomics. In this way, our method greatly increases the range of analytical methods that can be combined with microfluidic experiments.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1. Strains, Medium, and Culture Conditions. To obtain BMDMs, bone marrow was extracted from hind-limbs of hCD68-GFP transgenic mice bred on a C57BL/6 background—C57BL/ 6-Tg (CD68-EGFP) 1Drg/ J (026827, The Jackson Laboratory). Bone marrow cells were cultured (7 days, 37 °C, 5% CO₂) in high-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Sigma) enriched with 10% L929-conditioned medium (containing macrophage colony-stimulating factor), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma), and 1% penicillin plus streptomycin (P/S, Gibco). Eight milliliters growth medium was used for the first 4 days; then, 3 mL of the medium was removed and replaced with 5 mL of the fresh medium. Cells were plated into circuits on day 6, and chemoattractants were added on day 7. The chemoattractant used in BMDM experiments was recombinant mouse complement component 5a (C5a, 10 nM; R&D Systems).

The wild-type *P. aeruginosa* strain used throughout this study was PAO1 (Kolter collection ZK2019) expressing the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) from a constitutive promoter.²⁴ As an in-experiment control, we used a PAO1 strain harboring an in-frame deletion of *PilG* ($\Delta pilG$,¹⁰). All bacteria were grown from frozen stocks overnight in the LB medium (ThermoFisher; 37 °C; 250 rpm) and subcultured (1:30 dilution) in Tryptone Broth (TB, 10 g L⁻¹ Bacto Tryptone; ThermoFisher) for 2.5 h (37 °C; 250 rpm). Cells were then diluted in TB to an optical density (OD) at 600 nm of 0.5 before inoculation into circuits.

4.2. Imaging. BMDMs were imaged at a rate of 3 frames h^{-1} using an IncuCyte ZOOM, a commercially available live-cell imaging system (Sartorius, Gottingen, Germany). Bright-field images of *P. aeruginosa* were captured at a rate of 1 frame min⁻¹ using a Zeiss Axio Observer inverted microscope with a Zeiss AxioCam MRm camera, a Zeiss Definite Focus system, and a 20X Plan Apochromat air objective. Experiments that imaged YFP-labeled cells used a Zeiss HXP 120 illuminator with an exposure time of 150 ms. In all experiments, cell movement was followed in real time using bright-field images that were processed using Fiji²⁵ and analyzed using Matlab as described previously.²¹

4.3. Circuit Design and Fabrication. To study chemotaxis, we used "m"-shaped microfluidic circuits to study both BMDMs and surface-attached *P. aeruginosa*, although the latter circuits were smaller in size (Figure S2; note that BMDM cells are ~20 μ m in diameter and *P. aeruginosa* cells a few microns in length). All needles, glass syringes, and Teflon tubing were internally sterilized and washed by infusing 70% ethanol followed by the sterile culture medium (either DMEM or TB) and then externally sterilized by bathing in 70% ethanol for 1 min followed by the sterile medium for 1 min.

4.3.1. BMDM Circuit. The BMDM circuit was printed using a modified, custom-made printer (iotaSciences Ltd, Oxford, U.K.). The three-axis traverse on the printer holds a 25 G dispensing needle (Adhesive Dispensing Ltd) connected by PTFE tubing (Cole-Parmer) to a 250 μ L glass syringe (Hamilton) controlled by a syringe pump (iotaSciences Ltd). The dispensing needle was brought 300 μ m above the surface of the dish and then infused (10 μ L/min) DMEM + 10% FBS + 10% L929 + 1% P/S + 2% fibronectin as it drew the circuit [3]. Once completed, the circuit was overlaid with 2 mL of FC40 (iotaSciences Ltd) to prevent evaporation. Circuits were printed on both 60 mm tissue culture-treated dishes (Corning, 430166) and 60 mm suspension dishes (Corning, 430589). As BMDMs are extremely adherent, suspension dishes were used when cells were to be extracted (Figure S3).

4.3.2. P. aeruginosa Circuit. The bacterial circuit was printed on the surface of untreated 50 mm glass-bottom dishes (MatTek; the diameter of the glass bottom is 30 mm) as described above except that the needle was lowered to within 200 μ m of the glass surface and then moved across the dish as it infused (10 μ L/min) DMEM + 10% FBS. After printing, circuits were immediately overlaid with 2 mL of FC40. A thin and straight FC40 wall (length ~1 mm, width ~100 μ m) was then built at the junction where the two-inlet arms merge into the central conduit (Figure S2B) to allow incoming streams to meet roughly parallel to one another. This wall was made by jetting (Figure 56^{12}). Briefly, the dispensing needle was used to generate a jet of FC40. When this jet is targeted onto an existing circuit, the FC40 jet forces aside the medium making up the circuit, analogous to the PTFE stylus driving the medium aside. The FC40 subsequently remains stuck to the dish and held in place by interfacial forces. We therefore jetted FC40 (6.5 μ L s⁻¹) through a 70 μ m diameter needle (Oxford Lasers) positioned 0.5 mm above the aqueous circuit as the needle traversed in a straight line (1000 mm/min) to create the ~100 μ m wide dividing wall.

4.4. Exposing BMDMs to a Gradient of C5a. Following circuit fabrication, the sink was sealed off from the central conduit by building a new wall across the width of the conduit with a PTFE stylus. This allowed cells to be added directly within the conduit, without flowing into the sink due to differences in pressure across these two reservoirs—the larger size of the sink (and hence radius of curvature) results in a lower Laplace pressure³ and therefore any solution added to the conduit will flow directly into the sink down the resulting pressure gradient. Note that this was not necessary when carrying out experiments with *P. aeruginosa* because we were able to use a much higher inoculum density, allowing many cells to attach before drifting into the sink.

BMDMs (5 μ L with 1000 cells μ L⁻¹) were infused into the conduit, and the dish was incubated overnight to allow cell attachment. Using a hydrophilic stainless-steel 25 G needle (identical to the one used to print circuits), the sink was reconnected with the conduit by manually dragging the needle between the two interfaces and allowing fluid walls to merge back with one another. Three syringes (Hamilton) were then loaded onto syringe pumps (PhD Ultra, Harvard Apparatus): one 5 mL glass syringe filled with DMEM + 10% FBS + 10% L929 + 1% P/S, another identical one with the same medium + 10 nM C5a, and a third 10 mL glass syringe with 1 mL growth medium. The 5 mL syringes were mounted on the same syringe pump, while the 10 mL syringe was fitted on a separate syringe pump. All three syringes were connected to 25 G needles (Adhesive Dispensing Ltd) via PTFE tubing (1 m). A 3D printed needle holder that attaches to the outside edge of the dish then aids manual placement of needles in the circuit (Figure S7). To ensure needles were inserted 100 μ m above the glass surface, the holder was first placed on a separate dish containing a glass coverslip (Menzel-Glaser #1 thickness) glued to the bottom. Needles were then lowered through the holder until they came into contact with the coverslip, at which point the needles were bent over the holder to ensure that they remained at the same height throughout an experiment (Figure S7B,iii). With needles in the holder at an appropriate height, the holder was transferred to the dish containing the circuit. The 5 mL syringes \pm chemoattractant were connected to the two arms of the

circuit and the 10 mL syringe to the sink. The circuit was then overlaid with an additional 4 mL of FC40 and placed in the IncuCyte (inside an incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂). The 5 mL syringes were set to infuse at 0.5 μ L min⁻¹, while the 10 mL syringe was set to extract at 1 μ L min⁻¹.

4.5. Exposing *P. aeruginosa* to a Ciprofloxacin Gradient. After fabrication, circuits were infused $(0.5 \ \mu L \ min^{-1})$ with 1.5 $\ \mu L$ of TB through each of the two-inlet arms to flush out the DMEM + FBS used during fabrication. The flushed medium flowed into the sink at the end of the central conduit and was subsequently removed. *P. aeruginosa* cells (3 $\ \mu L$ with OD at 600 nm of 0.6) were then infused (0.4 $\ \mu L \ min^{-1})$ into one arm of the circuit upstream of the junction, and the circuit was left for 10 min to allow cells to attach to the glass surface.

Two 500 μ L glass syringes (Hamilton) were then used to set up the antibiotic gradient, one containing TB plus Chicago Sky Blue dye $(0.05 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$ to enable visualization of the (reverse) concentration gradient and the other with TB plus 10 $\mu g~mL^{-1}$ ciprofloxacin (corresponding to 100 times the MIC). This dye does not influence cell motility in surface-attached cells²¹ and allows the gradient to be monitored over time, showing that it remains stable throughout the duration of the experiment. A third plastic 10 mL syringe (Becton Dickinson Plastipak) was loaded with 1 mL of TB plus 10 μ g mL⁻¹ ciprofloxacin (corresponding to 100 times the MIC) and a fourth plastic 1 mL syringe (Becton Dickinson Plastipak) with 1 mL of TB plus 0.3 μ g mL⁻¹ ciprofloxacin (corresponding to 3 times the MIC) and Chicago Sky Blue dye (0.05 mg mL⁻¹). Each syringe was fitted into an individual syringe pump (PhD Ultra, Harvard Apparatus) and connected to a 25 G needle (Adhesive Dispensing Ltd) via PTFE tubing (1 m). The four needles were then placed into a needle holder (Figure S7) and set to the appropriate height using the same "zeroing" technique as before. The holder was transferred to the dish containing the circuit, and needles were lowered into the circuit: the needle connected to the glass syringe plus TB was lowered into one arm and the second connected to the glass syringe plus antibiotic was lowered into the other arm, the third connected to the 10 mL plastic syringe was lowered into the sink, and the fourth connected to the 1 mL plastic syringe was lowered into the antibiotic-containing arm upstream of the other inserted needle (Figure S7A). A further 4 mL FC40 was then overlaid on the circuit to prevent evaporation during the experiment.

To generate a concentration gradient of ciprofloxacin, TB plus 10 μ g mL⁻¹ ciprofloxacin was infused into one inlet arm and TB plus dye into the other (0.1 μ L min⁻¹ each). The two fluids then joined as laminar streams after the dividing wall and flowed through the central conduit into the sink. Diffusion between streams then generated two concentration gradients across the conduit width; one due to the antibiotic and the other due to the dye. Visual observation of the dye gradient allowed us to be sure that flows were stable and laminar, and that diffusion gradients were established. The fluid was also simultaneously withdrawn at an equivalent flow rate (0.2 μ L min⁻¹) through the needle in the sink into the 10 mL plastic syringe. After ~12 h, rates of infusion and withdrawal were halved to widen the ciprofloxacin gradient and so increase the number of cells that were exposed to the antibiotic gradient. All antibiotic-taxis experiments were performed at 22 $\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ using a custom-designed microscope incubation chamber with both heating and cooling modes (Digital Pixel Cell Viability and Microscopy Solutions, Brighton, U.K.).

4.6. Determining Maximum Gradient Widths. Fluid walls change shape in response to changes in pressure, and in a straight conduit, the height will decrease from the point of highest pressure (i.e., where the needle infuses the medium) to the point of lowest pressure (i.e., the conduit exit near the sink). It was recently shown that conduit heights and flow velocities in fluid-walled circuits can accurately be predicted from a simple semianalytical model.²⁶ Using this equation, we determined the average maximum height ($h_{\max,avg}$) and particle velocity ($u_{\max,avg}$) for each conduit in this study to estimate the average amount of time a particle spends in the conduit as $t_{avg} = \frac{L_{conduit}}{u_{\max,avg}}$, where $L_{conduit}$ corresponds to the length of the

conduit observed. We then used this time to calculate the characteristic distance the chemoattractant diffuses across the width of a conduit (x_{particle}) using the characteristic length scale of diffusion $x_{\text{particle}} = \sqrt{2Dt_{\text{avg}}}$, where *D* is the diffusion coefficient of the chemoattractant.

We next determined the diffusion coefficient of C5a, D_{C5a} . Using the Stokes–Einstein equation for the diffusion of a spherical particle in water of radius r as $D = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{6\pi\eta r}$ ($k_{\rm B}$ = Boltzmann's constant, T = absolute temperature, η = dynamic viscosity), the Stokes radius of a C5a molecule is estimated using its molecular weight, MW_{c5a} = 9 kDa, as r = 1.82 nm. Then, using $\eta_{\rm water} = 0.7$ cP and T = 310.15 K (37 °C), we find $D_{c5a} = 1.8 \times 10^{-10}$ m²/s. Hence, for the BMDM circuit, Q = 1 μ L min⁻¹ (Q = flow rate), $h_{\rm max,avg} \approx 100 \ \mu$ m, $u_{\rm max,avg} \approx 10,800 \ \mu$ m/min, and $L_{\rm conduit} = 3$ mm; therefore, the maximum gradient width obtained within the conduit is $x_{c5a} \approx 80 \ \mu$ m. For the *P. aeruginosa* circuit, $Q = 0.2 \ \mu$ L min⁻¹, $h_{\rm max,avg} \approx 40 \ \mu$ m, $u_{\rm max,avg} \approx 21,600 \ \mu$ m min⁻¹, $L_{\rm conduit} = 2$ mm, and $D_{\rm cipro} = 4 \times 10^{-10}$ m² s⁻¹;²⁷ therefore, the maximum gradient width obtained within the conduit is $x_{\rm conduit} = 70 \ \mu$ m.

4.7. 3D Printed Adaptors for Easy Manipulation of Circuits on and off the Microscope. The workflow requires the transfer of circuits between the microscope stage and printer. To ensure that circuits retain the same orientation on both platforms, dishes were fitted into a carrier bearing a single triangular protrusion (positioned 63° counter-clockwise from the top). This carrier was designed to fit in a fixed orientation into holders built into both the printer and microscope stages that bore complementary triangular indents (Figure 2). Both carrier and holder were designed using Onshape (Boston, MA) and fabricated using a Flashforge Finder 3D printer (Zhejiang, China). Carriers were designed for both Corning and MatTek dishes and can be easily adapted to fit a dish from any manufacturer.

4.8. Calibrating a Circuit before Reconfiguration on a Microscope. We designed a simple adaptor to fit a PTFE stylus onto the condenser of conventional microscopes, allowing us to reshape circuits without interrupting flows (Figure 2). The adaptor was designed using Onshape and fabricated using a Flashforge Finder 3D printer (Supporting Information, STEP files). To reconfigure circuits, the stylus was first held to one side of the condenser turret to not interfere with imaging. We then calculated the distance between the center of the objective lens (the center of the field of view) and the position of the stylus. To perform this calibration, we designed an "L"shaped conduit placed above the main circuit (Figure S4A,B). The stylus was then lowered onto the surface of the dish in the gap between both arms of this "L" and the coordinates of this position (p_1) were recorded. Next, we moved the microscope stage vertically, moving the stylus perpendicularly through the horizontal arm of the "L" ("path 1" in Figure S4B). After returning the microscope stage to p_1 , we moved the stage horizontally, thus moving the stylus perpendicularly through the vertical arm of the "L" ("path 2" in Figure S4B). This created both a horizontal and vertical wall through the arms of the "L"-shaped conduit (Figure S4B). The offset between the coordinates of p_1 and the center of these vertical and horizontal walls corresponded to the x- and y-offsets, respectively, between the objective lens (p_2) and the attached stylus. Using these offsets then allowed us to position the stylus precisely within any observable region of the dish. The precision with which any new walls were built was thus determined by the movement resolution of the microscope stage (typically in the micrometer range) and the width of the stylus (typically tens of micrometers), although this precision can be slightly reduced by play in the ring that holds the stylus.

4.9. Isolating and Extracting Chemotaxing BMDMs Both On and Off the Microscope. After ~ 28 h in the circuit, BMDMs began migrating toward higher C5a concentrations, creating a region of high cell density (Figures 3, S3, and S8); the first fluid wall was built to isolate this band from cells remaining in low C5a concentrations. Since BMDMs are so adherent,¹⁹ flows can be stopped prior to reconfiguring the circuit without risking cross-contamination from detaching cells. The reconfiguration was then performed by placing the dish on the printer, which builds the new walls. Wall coordinates were determined by analyzing cell trajectories, and the stylus was washed after building each wall with 70% ethanol to prevent crosscontamination (Figures 3 and S3). Additionally, circuits were also reconfigured on the stage of an Olympus IX53 inverted microscope using a modified version of the adapter holding the PTFE stylus on the condenser. The stylus was positioned directly above the objective lens and the circuit was dragged past it in real time by moving the stage of the microscope, as described previously (Figure S8).

4.9.1. Automated Retrieval of Isolated BMDMs. The circuit was returned to the printer to extract the small volume remaining in the isolation chamber. First, the chamber was washed twice by sequentially adding and removing 0.5 μ L of PBS (5 μ L min⁻¹), followed by 1 µL of 10 mM EDTA (a metal chelator that reduces adhesion of integrins¹⁹) in PBS (5 μ L min⁻¹), and then incubating for 10 min at 37 °C. The chamber was then gently but firmly tapped from below the dish using a pen to ensure that most cells were dislodged (confirmed visually on the microscope). To extract dislodged cells, a volume of 1.5 μ L was withdrawn (5 μ L min⁻¹) from the chamber using an extracting needle that had been pre-loaded with 20 μ L of the cell medium to ensure that withdrawn cells were immediately exposed to the nutrient-rich medium and to neutralize EDTA (Figure S3). The needle then dispensed (100 μ L min⁻¹) 5 μ L of its contents into an Eppendorf tube containing 95 μ L of the cell medium, the contents of which were then transferred to a 35 mm TC-treated dish (Corning, 430165) and incubated for 24 h to assess cell viability.

4.9.2. Assessing BMDM Viability Post Extraction. To assess the viability of extracted BMDMs, we first counted the number of cells contained within the isolation chamber immediately after building the surrounding walls and compared this to the number of cells extracted and transferred to the new dish. We then defined a specific region of interest including only the population of chemotaxing cells (ROI—dashed outline in Figure S3B–D) from which we extracted ~87% of the cells. In total, ~80% of these extracted cells were reattached to the surface of the dish and displayed their characteristic pseudopodia, indicating they remained viable (Figure S3F).

4.10. Isolating and Extracting Migrating P. aeruginosa Cells. We first sought to build a fluid wall along the length of the central conduit to isolate specific subpopulations of migrating bacteria from the high density of nonmigrating cells present in antibiotic-free regions (Figure 4C). As bacteria migrate up the antibiotic gradient, they experience a steady increase in antibiotic concentration. However, building a separating fluid wall along the center-line of the central conduit to isolate migrating cells from the bulk population would disrupt the ciprofloxacin gradient and rapidly expose all migrating cells to the maximum ciprofloxacin concentration (100 times the MIC). To avoid exposing cells to such a dramatic increase in concentration, we first decreased the concentration of the antibiotic perfused to 3 times the MIC. We thus prepared circuits for reconfiguration by additionally infusing $(0.05 \ \mu L \ min^{-1})$ TB plus ciprofloxacin at 3 times the MIC through the same circuit arm in which we infuse TB plus ciprofloxacin at 100 times the MIC. This temporarily doubles the flow rate through this circuit arm, which shifts the gradient toward the antibiotic-free side. Immediately after obtaining visual confirmation of this shift by observing a change in the position of the dye gradient (~ 20 s), infusion through the syringes containing antibiotic-free TB and the syringe containing TB plus ciprofloxacin at 100 times the MIC was paused to fill the conduit with ciprofloxacin at 3 times the MIC.

At this stage, we built an initial fluid wall along the length of the central conduit, as shown in Figure 4C. After building this first wall, we continued to infuse TB plus ciprofloxacin at 3 times the MIC for 5 min to wash away any antibiotic naïve planktonic cells that could have been inadvertently displaced from antibiotic-free regions of the circuit during circuit reconfiguration. The flow was then halted, and two additional walls were built across the width of the central conduit to completely seal off an isolated fluid chamber containing migrating bacteria (Figure S4C). Before building each wall, the stylus was raised and sterilized with 70% ethanol to avoid contamination from cells it may have contacted previously.

4.10.1. Extracting Planktonic P. aeruginosa from the Isolation Chamber. Isolated bacteria remained in fluid chambers containing ciprofloxacin at 3 times the MIC for 2 h to kill any antibiotic naive cells.¹⁰ Cells were monitored by imaging (0.1 frame min⁻¹), and while most cells remained surface-attached, some detached and were lost from the field of view. We therefore analyzed the viability of both surface-attached and planktonic populations. We analyzed the planktonic fraction by extracting chamber contents and plating them onto antibiotic-free LB agar plates. To this end, culture dishes were returned to the printer, and an extracting needle was pre-loaded with 50 μ L of TB medium plus Chicago Sky Blue dye (0.05 g mL⁻¹); this ensured that withdrawn cells were immediately exposed to a nutrient-rich environment, while the blue dye allowed us to visually monitor the exchange of the nanoliter scale volumes in the chamber. The needle was lowered to within 100 μ m of the dish surface, and 1 μ L was withdrawn (2 μ L min⁻¹). This volume exceeded the total amount of the medium in the chamber, so some FC40 was also withdrawn. We then dispensed 5 μ L from the needle at a flow rate of 100 μ L min⁻¹ into an Eppendorf tube containing 95 μ L of the LB medium to ensure that any bacteria that had been withdrawn into the needle were likely flushed out. The contents of the Eppendorf tube were then spread on LB agar plates, incubated (92 h, 37 °C), and colony growth was monitored.

4.10.2. Assaying Viability of Surface-Attached P. aeruginosa. To analyze the viability of cells remaining attached to the surface of the dishes within the isolated fluid chamber, the chamber (formed of TB overlaid with FC40) was washed 5 times with the TB medium plus dye to dilute the antibiotic to a negligible level. The contents of the chamber during each wash were also recovered in separate Eppendorf tubes containing 95 μ L of the LB medium and later plated to monitor colony growth. Each wash involved infusing $(0.1 \ \mu L \ min^{-1}) \ 0.1 \ \mu L$ of the TB medium plus dye into the chamber and removing 1 μ L from it (including some FC40). The dishes were then returned to the microscope and the bottom surface of the entire chamber was imaged for 60 h (0.1 frames min⁻¹) to monitor cell movement and viability. After 60 h, we infused (at a flow rate of 0.1 μ L min⁻¹) 0.1 μ L of exponential-phase cell suspension (diluted to an OD at 600 nm of 0.015) into the chamber to introduce \sim 25 viable cells. These cells grew and rapidly filled the entire chamber after \sim 12 h; this acted as a positive control, indicating that the concentration of the antibiotic remaining in the isolated chambers was negligible (Figure S5B).

4.11. Image Analysis. To quantify the motility of individual bacteria and macrophages, we tracked thousands of cells using a cell-tracking approach we developed previously.²¹ Briefly, a series of bright-field images were stabilized and the background pixel intensity was normalized using the "Image Stabilizer" and "Normalize Local Contrast" plugins in Fiji.²⁵ The background was then subtracted (using "Subtract Background," in Fiji), and the pixel intensity was inverted to generate an image series with high-pixel intensity cells on a low-pixel intensity background. These cells were then tracked using the open-source "Trackmate" plugin for Fiji.²⁸ Further analyses of the resulting trajectories including the quantification of reversal rates and cells' chemotactic bias were performed in Matlab, as previously described.²¹

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsami.2c07177.

Phase-contrast movie of chemotaxing macrophages (Video S1) (MOV)

Phase-contrast movie of chemotaxing bacterial cells (Video S2) (MP4)

Supporting Information STEP files of the 3D printed parts (ZIP)

Establishing gradients in fluid-walled circuits in an 'm'shaped circuit (Figure S1); dimensions of circuits used to study macrophages and bacterial chemotaxis (Figure S2); isolation, extraction, and recovery of chemotaxing BMDMs (Figure S3); reconfiguring microfluidic circuits on a microscope to isolate chemotaxing *P. aeruginosa* without interrupting flow (Figure S4); phase-contrast images showing that P. aeruginosa in newly reconfigured circuits can be extracted or added to monitor division potential (Figure S5); jetting enables fine-scale reconfiguration of microfluidic arrangements (Figure S6); calibrating the height of needles used to infuse and withdraw fluid through fluid walls (Figure S7); reconfiguring a microfluidic circuit on a microscope to isolate populations of chemotaxing BMDMs (Figure S8) (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Edmond J. Walsh – Department of Engineering Science, Osney Thermo-Fluids Laboratory, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 0ES, U.K.; Email: edmond.walsh@eng.ox.ac.uk

Authors

- Cyril Deroy Department of Engineering Science, Osney Thermo-Fluids Laboratory, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 0ES, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0001-8909-0847
- James H. R. Wheeler Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, U.K.; Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3SZ, U.K.; Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QU, U.K.
- Agata N. Rumianek Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RE, U.K.
- Peter R. Cook Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RE, U.K.
- William M. Durham Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, U.K.; Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3SZ, U.K.
- Kevin R. Foster Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3SZ, U.K.; Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QU, U.K.

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsami.2c07177

Author Contributions

C.D. and J.H.R.W. contributed equally. C.D., J.H.R.W., P.R.C., W.M.D., K.R.F., and E.J.W. designed the research; C.D. and J.H.R.W. performed experiments and analyzed data; A.N.R. maintained and provided BMDMs; and all authors worked on the paper.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): P. R. C. and E. J. W. each hold equity in and receive fees from iotaSciences Ltd., a company exploiting this technology; iotaSciences Ltd. also provided the printers, the FC40STAR, and a scholarship for C. D; K. R. F. is cofounder of Postbiotics plus research LLC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by iotaSciences Ltd. (who provided a scholarship for C.D.), the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/R018383/1—J.H.R.W.), the Impact Acceleration Account of the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (P.R.C. and E.J.W.),

awards from the Medical Research Council under the Confidence in Concept scheme (MC_PC_15029 to P.R.C. and E.J.W.), the British Heart Foundation graduate studentship (FS/17/68/33478 to A.N.R.), the Human Frontier Science Program (RGY0080/2021), EPSRC Pump Priming Award (EP/M027430/1) and BBSRC New Investigator Grant (BB/R018383/1) to W.M.D., and the European Research Council Grant (787932) and Wellcome Trust Investigator award (209397/Z/17/Z) to K.R.F.

REFERENCES

 Rusconi, R.; Garren, M.; Stocker, R. Microfluidics Expanding the Frontiers of Microbial Ecology. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 2014, 43, 65–91.
 Kaigala, G. V.; Lovchik, R. D.; Delamarche, E. Microfluidics in

the 'Open Space' for Performing Localized Chemistry on Biological Interfaces. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11224–11240.

(3) Walsh, E. J.; Feuerborn, A.; Wheeler, J. H. R.; Tan, A. N.; Durham, W. M.; Foster, K. R.; Cook, P. R. Microfluidics with Fluid Walls. *Nat. Commun.* **201**7, *8*, No. 816.

(4) Soitu, C.; Feuerborn, A.; Deroy, C.; Castrejón-Pita, A. A.; Cook, P. R.; Walsh, E. J. Raising Fluid Walls Around Living Cells. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5*, No. 32.

(5) Deneke, V. E.; Di Talia, S. Chemical Waves in Cell and Developmental Biology. J. Cell Biol. 2018, 217, 1193–1204.

(6) Stocker, R.; Seymour, J. R.; Samadani, A.; Hunt, D. E.; Polz, M. F. Rapid Chemotactic Response Enables Marine Bacteria to Exploit Ephemeral Microscale Nutrient Patches. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2008**, *105*, 4209–4214.

(7) Rusconi, R.; Stocker, R. Microbes in Flow. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2015, 25, 1–8.

(8) Taylor, L.; Brodermann, M. H.; McCaffary, D.; Iqbal, A. J.; Greaves, D. R. Netrin-1 Reduces Monocyte and Macrophage Chemotaxis towards the Complement Component C5a. *PLoS One* **2016**, *11*, No. e0160685.

(9) Iqbal, A. J.; Regan-Komito, D.; Christou, I.; White, G. E.; McNeill, E.; Kenyon, A.; Taylor, L.; Kapellos, T. S.; Fisher, E. A.; Channon, K. M.; Greaves, D. R. A Real Time Chemotaxis Assay Unveils Unique Migratory Profiles amongst Different Primary Murine Macrophages. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8*, No. e58744.

(10) Oliveira, N. M.; Wheeler, J. H. R.; Deroy, C.; Booth, S. C.; Walsh, E. J.; Durham, W. M.; Foster, K. R. Suicidal Chemotaxis in Bacteria, *bioRxiv*, 2021, DOI: 10.1101/2021.12.21.473623.

(11) Soitu, C.; Feuerborn, A.; Tan, A. N.; Walker, H.; Walsh, P. A.; Castrejón-Pita, A. A.; Cook, P. R.; Walsh, E. J. Microfluidic Chambers Using Fluid Walls for Cell Biology. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2018**, *115*, E5926–E5933.

(12) Soitu, C.; Stovall-Kurtz, N.; Deroy, C.; Castrejón-Pita, A. A.; Cook, P. R.; Walsh, E. J. Jet-Printing Microfluidic Devices on Demand. *Adv. Sci.* **2020**, *7*, No. 2001854.

(13) Li, C.; Yu, J.; Schehr, J.; Berry, S. M.; Leal, T. A.; Lang, J. M.; Beebe, D. J. Exclusive Liquid Repellency: An Open Multi-Liquid-Phase Technology for Rare Cell Culture and Single-Cell Processing. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 17065–17070.

(14) Pixley, F. J. Macrophage Migration and Its Regulation by CSF-1. Int. J. Cell Biol. **2012**, 2012, 501962.

(15) Gibiansky, M. L.; Conrad, J. C.; Jin, F.; Gordon, V. D.; Motto, D. A.; Mathewson, M. A.; Stopka, W. G.; Zelasko, D. C.; Shrout, J. D.; Wong, G. C. L. Bacteria Use Type IV Pili to Walk Upright and Detach from Surfaces. *Science* **2010**, *330*, 197.

(16) Kaplan, J. B. Biofilm Dispersal: Mechanisms, Clinical Implications, and Potential Therapeutic Uses. J. Dent. Res. 2010, 89, 205–218.

(17) Berlanga, M.; Guerrero, R. Living Together in Biofilms: the Microbial Cell Factory and its Biotechnological Implications. *Microb. Cell Fact.* **2016**, *15*, 165.

(18) Kew, R. R. The Complement System. In *Pathobiology of Human Disease*, McManus, L. M.; Mitchell, H. D., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, 2014; pp 231–243.

(19) Chen, S.; So, E. C.; Strome, S. E.; Zhang, X. Impact of Detachment Methods on M2 Macrophage Phenotype and Function. *J. Immunol. Methods* **2015**, 426, 56–61.

(20) Burrows, L. L. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Twitching Motility: Type IV Pili in Action. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. **2012**, *66*, 493–520.

(21) Oliveira, N. M.; Foster, K. R.; Durham, W. M. Single-Cell Twitching Chemotaxis in Developing Biofilms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2016**, *113*, 6532–6537.

(22) Cai, Q.; Zhaojun, L.; Qi, O.; Chunxiong, L.; Vernita, D. G. Singly Flagellated *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Chemotaxes Efficiently by Unbiased Motor Regulation. *mBio* **2016**, *7*, No. e00013-16.

(23) Sampedro, I.; Parales, R. E.; Krell, T.; Hill, J. E. Pseudomonas Chemotaxis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2015**, *39*, 17–46.

(24) Oliveira, N. M.; Martinez-Garcia, E.; Xavier, J.; Durham, W. M.; Kolter, R.; Kim, W.; Foster, K. R. Biofilm Formation As a Response to Ecological Competition. *PLOS Biol.* **2015**, *13*, No. e1002191.

(25) Schindelin, J.; Arganda-Carreras, I.; Frise, E.; Kaynig, V.; Longair, M.; Pietzsch, T.; Preibisch, S.; Rueden, C.; Saalfeld, S.; Schmid, B.; Tinevez, J.-Y.; White, D. J.; Hartenstein, V.; Eliceiri, K. W.; Tomancak, P.; Cardona, A. Fiji: An Open Source Platform for Biological Image Analysis. *Nat. Methods* **2012**, *9*, 676–682.

(26) Deroy, C.; Stovall-Kurtz, N.; Nebuloni, F.; Soitu, C.; Cook, P. R.; Walsh, E. J. Predicting Flows Through Microfluidic Circuits With Fluid Walls. *Microsystems Nanoeng.* **2021**, *7*, No. 93.

(27) Wąsik, S.; Arabski, M.; Drulis-Kawa, Z.; Gubernator, J. Laser Interferometry Analysis of Ciprofloxacin and Ampicillin Diffusion from Liposomal Solutions to Water Phase. *Eur. Biophys. J.* **2013**, *42*, 549–558.

(28) Tinevez, J.-Y.; Perry, N.; Schindelin, J.; Hoopes, G. M.; Reynolds, G. D.; Laplantine, E.; Bednarek, S. Y.; Shorte, S. L.; Eliceiri, K. W. TrackMate: An Open and Extensible Platform for Single-Particle Tracking. *Methods* **2017**, *115*, 80–90.

Recommended by ACS

Automated Addressable Microfluidic Device for Minimally Disruptive Manipulation of Cells and Fluids within Living Cultures

Anh Tong, Roman Voronov, *et al.* FEBRUARY 12, 2020 ACS BIOMATERIALS SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

READ

Microfluidic Systems with Embedded Cell Culture Chambers for High-Throughput Biological Assays

Arian Jaberi, Ruiguo Yang, et al. JULY 23, 2020 ACS APPLIED BIO MATERIALS

READ 🗹

Multistep Fluidic Control Network toward the Automated Generation of Organ-on-a-Chip

Hsin-Lin Hsieh, Jen-Huang Huang, et al. JULY 23, 2019 ACS BIOMATERIALS SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

READ 🗹

Cell Washing and Solution Exchange in Droplet Microfluidic Systems

Can Huang, Arum Han, et al. JUNE 10, 2021 ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY

READ 🗹

Get More Suggestions >

Supporting Information

Reconfigurable microfluidic circuits for isolating and retrieving cells of interest

*Cyril Deroy*¹, *James H. R. Wheeler*^{2,3,4}, *Agata N. Rumianek*⁵, *Peter R. Cook*⁵, *William M. Durham*^{2,3}, *Kevin R. Foster*^{3,4}, *Edmond J. Walsh*^{1*}

¹ Department of Engineering Science, Osney Thermo-Fluids Laboratory, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 0ES, UK

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, UK

³ Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3SZ, UK

⁴ Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK

⁵ Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RE, UK

E-mail: edmond.walsh@eng.ox.ac.uk

This PDF file includes:

Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S8 Movies S1 and S2 Supplementary CAD files

Other Supplementary Materials for this manuscript include the following:

Movies S1 and S2 Supplementary CAD files



Figure S1. Establishing gradients in fluid-walled circuits in an 'm'-shaped circuit. (**A**) Needles connected to syringe pumps via tubing are inserted into the arms and sink. (**B**) Red and blue dyes are pumped into the two arms and withdrawn from the sink at an equivalent flow rate. (**C**) As laminar streams of red and blue dyes meet in the central conduit, they flow side by side and diffuse laterally across the conduit. (**i**) White boxes labelled 1 and 2 illustrate regions with differences in diffusional mixing along the length of the conduit, and correspond to insets (**ii**,1) (where the gradient is steeper near the top of the conduit) and (**ii**,2) (where the gradient widens along the bottom of the conduit as particles have more time to diffuse), respectively.



Figure S2. Dimensions of circuits used to study macrophage and bacterial chemotaxis. (**A**) Left: micrograph of the circuit used with macrophages (red dye added to aid visualisation). Right: circuit dimensions. (**B**) Left: micrograph of the circuit used with bacteria (red dye added to aid visualisation). Right: circuit dimensions.



Figure S3. Isolation, extraction, and recovery of chemotaxing BMDMs. Circuits were reconfigured by building new fluid walls to isolate cells of interest in three independent repeats. Cells were then extracted to assess viability in one of the repeats. Phase-contrast images were collected on the IncuCyte. (**A**) Image of BMDMs taken immediately after plating in the central conduit of the 'm'-shaped circuit. (**B**) Image of the conduit after 28 h as laminar streams of media and C5a flow over cells. Some cells sense the C5a gradient and migrate to the right to leave a zone with fewer cells. Analysis of the movie allows us to determine the region containing migrating cells (region of interest 'ROI', outlined). (**C**) Image of newly-built 'wall 1' (green, made using the stylus on the printer). A further two walls (not shown in image) are then built to completely seal off the region rich in chemotaxing cells from the rest of the circuit. (**D**) Image of the isolation chamber (pink) containing the ROI after extracting cells using the printer. (**E**) Graph quantifying the number of cells isolated, extracted, and recovered from within the isolation chamber and the ROI. Within the isolation chamber (which includes the ROI), 70.2% of isolated cells were successfully extracted with the printer (which includes 87% of the cells in the ROI). 80% of these extracted cells were later recovered after plating onto new culture dishes. (**F**) Image of recovered BMDMs from the isolation chamber after transfer into new dishes and incubation for 24 h. Cells have reattached and present their characteristic pseudopodia.



Figure S4. Reconfiguring microfluidic circuits on a microscope to isolate chemotaxing P. aeruginosa without interrupting flow. (A) Image of dish with 'm' and 'L'-shaped circuits (both contain blue dye to aid visualization). (B) Plan of 'L'-shaped circuit used to ascertain x, y offsets of stylus from the optical axis. After printing circuits, the condenser is lowered so the attached stylus touches the surface of the dish at an arbitrarily-chosen point (p_1) lying orthogonal to arms of the 'L'. Next, the motorized stage moves so that the stylus follows paths 1 and 2 over the dish surface. During movement, media in contact with the glass is displaced by the stylus, and – as FC40 wets glass better than media – FC40 walls pinned to the glass are built along paths 1 and 2. Mid-points in these newly-built walls are imaged through the objective lens, and x, y offsets from the optical axis (p_2) recorded. (C) Overlaid fluorescence and bright-field images of 'm'-shaped circuit (at junction between antibiotic arm and central conduit) that contains wild-type YFP-labelled P. aeruginosa cells, (a high density can be seen as the yellow on the left). Live-cell imaging of the biofilm over the previous ~24 h shows that many cells to the right of what will become path 1 were chemotaxing towards ciprofloxacin. Therefore, new FC40 walls were built successively along paths 1-3 (green arrows) to isolate these cells from others (see Experimental Section). The volume within the dashed blue outline is now isolated from the rest of the dish. In this low-power view, individual cells yield insufficient fluorescence signal to be detected.

A extract cells to assess viability

B newly added cells grow into a biofilm



Figure S5. Phase-contrast images showing that *P. aeruginosa* in newly-reconfigured circuits can be extracted or added to monitor division potential. (**A**) Image after extracting cells to the right of the wall; few cells remain in the chamber, and the dense biofilm to the left serves as a control. Subsequent plating of extracted cells on LB plates yields no colonies, showing none can divide. (**B**) Image taken 12 h after readding ~25 new WT cells in antibiotic-free media to the extracted area shows that washing the chamber thoroughly can remove essentially all antibiotic. The chamber is now completely covered in a biofilm of WT cells (indicating that cell growth and division is possible in the isolated region and that there is negligible residual antibiotic).



Figure S6. Jetting enables fine-scale reconfiguration of microfluidic arrangements. (**A**) Preparing a dish for jetting. (**i**) A virgin Petri dish is overlaid with a thin film of media. (**ii**) Media is overlaid with a layer of FC40. (**B**) A dispensing needle loaded with FC40 is held in the FC40 above the dish. The needle 'jets' FC40, forcing media aside and allowing FC40 to wet the dish. FC40 wets the surface better than media, so it remains stuck to it. (**C**) Fluid walls may be built by moving the needle over the dish, shown here in the fabrication of a square. (**D**) We use jetting to create just the thin dividing wall at the point where both arms of the 'm'-shaped circuit used for *P. aeruginosa* meet (Figure S2B). (**i**) The jetting needle moves along the path indicated by the black arrow to build a new wall. (**ii**) Representation of the completed circuit after jetting.



Figure S7. Calibrating the height of needles used to infuse and withdraw fluid through fluid walls. (A) Image (top view) of a needle holder (red, CAD model) attached to a dish containing an 'm'-shaped circuit into which red and blue dyes have been pumped into the side arms (the edge of the dish is hidden by the circumference of the needle holder. The holder has holes (labelled) through which needles can be inserted that are positioned directly above appropriate points in the circuits. (**B**) Adjusting the height of an input needle (CAD views from side -/+ cartoons below; a similar procedure is used with the other two needles). (**i**) The needle is inserted through the needle holder. (**ii**) The needle is fitted to the dish with a glass coverslip (height 100 μ m) glued to the bottom, and lowered until it contacts the coverslip; then, the needle is bent to fix its vertical position in the holder. (**iii**) When the needle holder is placed on a dish with a circuit, the needle is now at the correct height relative to the circuit.



Figure S8. Reconfiguring a microfluidic circuit on a microscope to isolate populations of chemotaxing BMDMs. Chemotaxing BMDMs in response to a gradient of C5a are isolated from the population of non-migrating cells using live microscopy, by using an adaptor fitted to the condenser of the microscope (as in Figure 2) (**A**) BMDMs are plated into the central arm of an 'm'-shaped circuit (inset shows close-up of cells). (**B**) Two laminar streams of a chemoattractant (C5a, right) and cell culture media (left) are generated over the cells. Diffusion creates a stable concentration gradient of C5a along the length of the conduit. Cells within the gradient sense the increasing concentration of C5a and migrate towards it over ~28 h. Analysis of the movie made allows us to determine the region containing the population of migrating cells (in the dashed box). (**C**) The microscope is fitted with a similar adaptor to that shown in Figure 2 which holds a PTFE stylus used to make a new fluid wall along the length of the conduit (green, 'wall 1'). This isolates the population of migrating cells (to the right of wall 1) from non-responsive cells. 'Wall 2' downstream and 'wall 3' upstream (not visible in the image) are then made to completely seal the cells from the rest of the circuit (as in Figure 3C).

Supplementary Videos

Supplementary Video 1. Phase contrast movie of chemotaxing macrophages. BMDMs in a C5a gradient (media input at left, and 10 nM C5a at right); they chemotax towards C5a over ~28 h.

Supplementary Video 2. Phase contrast movie of chemotaxing bacterial cells. *P. aeruginosa* in ciprofloxacin gradient (TB + a phase-dark blue dye is input at left to give the dark region, and the antibiotic at 100X MIC is input on the right to give the phase-light region); they chemotax towards the antibiotic over \sim 24h. The gradient extends over \sim 70 µm.

Supplementary STEP files of the 3D printed parts

STEP files from the CAD models of the 3D printed adaptors used to transform a microscope into a reconfiguring tool.